UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,014	02/10/2004	Jerry A. Culp	INST490DIV4	9084
51017 INTEL. PROP.	7590 09/30/200 ' RND	EXAMINER		
STRYKER CORPORATION 4100 EAST MILHAM AVE.			TRUONG, KI	EVIN THAO
KALMAZOO, MI 49001-6197			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/776,014	CULP ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin T. Truong	3734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Ju</u>	ne 2008.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<i>;</i> —	<i>,</i> —					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>97-123</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>103,114 and 122</u> is/a	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>103,114 and 122</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>97-102, 104-113, 115-121 and 123</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
· · · <u> </u>	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	animer. Note the attached office	7.0.1011 01 101111 1 0 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmont(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/776,014 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Note: This is in response to an amendment filed 06/18/2008.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 97-102, 104-113, 115-121 and 123 in the reply filed on 11/14/2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 97-102, 104-113, 115-121 and 123 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-47 of U.S. Patent No. 6,752,816. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the relatively subject matter claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/776,014 Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

such surgical handpiece having a power generating unit; an accessory connected to the power unit; a memory containing data; and a console includes driver, control unit configured to read and retain the data stored in the handpiece memory, in the instant application which would have been obvious in view of the relatively subject matter of the patent claims.

- 4. Claims 97-102, 104-113, 115-121 and 123 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,090,123. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the relatively subject matter claimed such surgical handpiece having a power generating unit; an accessory connected to the power unit; a memory containing data; and a console includes driver, control unit configured to read and retain the data stored in the handpiece memory, in the instant application which would have been obvious in view of the relatively subject matter of the patent claims.
- 5. Claims 97-102, 104-113, 115-121 and 123 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,017,354. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the relatively subject matter claimed such surgical handpiece having a power generating unit; an accessory connected to the power unit; a memory containing data; and a console includes driver, control unit configured to read and retain the data stored in the handpiece memory, in the instant

application which would have been obvious in view of the relatively subject matter of the patent claims.

Page 4

6. Claims 97-102, 104-113, 115-121 and 123 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-40 of U.S. Patent No. 6,329,778. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the relatively subject matter claimed such surgical handpiece having a power generating unit; an accessory connected to the power unit; a memory containing data; and a console includes driver, control unit configured to read and retain the data stored in the handpiece memory, in the instant application which would have been obvious in view of the relatively subject matter of the patent claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Claims 97-102, 104-113, 115-121 and 123 would be allowable if a timely filed terminal disclaimer to overcome the rejection(s) under nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/776,014 Page 5

Art Unit: 3734

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM..

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin T. Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3734 Kevin T. Truong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3734 Application/Control Number: 10/776,014

Page 6

Art Unit: 3734